REMARKS

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

In the present Office Action, Claims 1-27, 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sheldon, et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,072,486 - "Sheldon") and further in view of Klingler, et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,404,316 - "Klingler"). After careful consideration of Examiner's remarks, Applicant respectfully traverses Examiner's rejection in view of the arguments submitted herein.

Regarding Claim 1, Sheldon does disclose a method of displaying icons within a data processing system, with the automatic rearrangement of the icons with respect to the number of icons and the designation of the area on the screen for displaying the icons. However, nothing in Klingler teaches or suggests "automatically scaling each of said plurality of icons in response to said quantity of said plurality of icons and said designated area such that said plurality of icons can be displayed in said designated area of said display screen."

Klingler discloses a time scale indicator which allows the user to define the ratio of the number of frames per frame display (col. 8, lines 21-23). However, the ratio n as defined by the user merely indicates to the system to display every nth frame on the screen. Furthermore, Klingler recites the necessity of using "conventional horizontal and vertical sliders . . . to allow display of each of the horizontal frame displays 120 and horizontal sound bands 118 regardless of the selected Window size" (col. 8, 30-34). This indicates that Klingler does not calculate the frame size so that every frame is visible within the frame display without the use of the horizontal and/or vertical sliders.

Therefore, it appears that the invention as disclosed in Klingler merely displays a preset grid, in response to the ratio n defined by the user (see Fig. 3). In Figure 3, the clip frames appear to be of a preset size. Also, the grid, and the corresponding clip frames, are not sized so that the entire grid may be displayed in the window without the use of horizontal and or vertical sliders. Note, in the grid in Figure 3, there are headers marked "Clip 1", "scene 1", "Clip 2", "scene 2", etc. However, it appears that the line titled "scene 5" is not viewable without the use

U.S. Application No. 09/599,893

Response - Page 9

Attorney Docket Number AUS000065US1

of the vertical slider. The entire purpose of the present invention is to size a plurality of icons in response to the number of icons to be displayed and the designated screen area so that the icons maximize the use of the designated screen area, but are appropriately sized as to not require the use of conventional sliders (specification, page 17, lines 17-29).

Applicant believes that the arguments addressed regarding Claim 1 apply to Claims 8, 15, 22, 25, and 29.

In the Present Office Action, Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable under Sheldon, Klingler, and further in view of Bodnar, et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,310,634 B1 - "Bodnar"). While Bodnar does disclose the use of a handheld device, this use refers to the presentation of calendar data by a calendar module. Nothing in the combination of Sheldon, Klingler, or Bodnar teaches nor suggests a data processing system (hand held device, according to Claim 28) with a:

means for displaying said plurality of icons within said determined size of said designated area, based upon said predetermined minimum size and said predetermined maximum size, by at least one of a) automatically scaling said icons; b) displaying a portion of each one of said plurality of icons; and c) creating a plurality of selectable display screen pages wherein each screen page has a portion of the plurality of icons displayed within said determined size of said designated area (Claim 26, lines 7-15).

In Bodnar, the calendar module allows the user to switch between different views of the same data, depending on the user's desired focus on the data. The user may specify an event, daily, weekly, or monthly view of the data (col. 13, lines 10-45). However, this method and system, in view of Sheldon and Klingler, greatly differs from the subject of the present invention.

First, the issues addressed in the discussion regarding Claim 1 also apply to Claim 28. Sheldon demonstrates the rearrangement of icons, but Klingler does not teach nor suggest "a) automatically scaling said icons; b) displaying a portion of each one of said plurality of icons; and c) creating a plurality of selectable display screen pages wherein each screen page has a portion of the plurality of icons displayed within said determined size of said designated area" (Claim 26, lines 10-15). Next, Bodnar refers to a "high-level navigation model" (col. 13, lines

25-45) and a "calendar module" (col. 13, lines 10-23), which is a specific application of the highlevel navigation model. The "high-level navigation model" allows the user to switch the display to get another view of the data by the activation of a "view switch key" (col. 13, lines 30-31). According to Bodnar the view switch "cycles through different views at a particular level, with the actual views being dictated by the particular application (module)." (col. 13, lines 18-21). Therefore, the views of the data available to the user are pre-set views (e.g. by event, daily, weekly, monthly - in the case of viewing events on a calendar application). The present invention scales the icons not in response to a user selection among pre-set views, but in response to: (1) the number of icons to be displayed; and (2) the designated area for the display of the icons.

CONCLUSION

In light of the preceding arguments, Applicant believes that independent Claims 1, 8, 15, 22, 25, and 29 and all dependent claims are not rendered unpatentable in view of Sheldon, Klingler, and further in view of Bodnar. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests a Notice of Allowance for all pending claims.

No extension of time is believed to be required. However, in the event that an extension of time is required, please charge that extension fee and any other required fees to IBM Corporation Deposit Account Number 09-0447.

Respectfully submitted,

Reg. No. 29,634

DILLON & YUDELL LLP

8911 North Capital of Texas Highway

Suite 2110

Austin, Texas 78759

Telephone (512) 343-6116

Facsimile (512) 343-6446

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANTS

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not infinited to the nems enceked.	
	BLACK BORDERS
	IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
	FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
	BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
	SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
	COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
	GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
	LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
	REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
	OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.